arXiv:1212.1952v2 [nlin.SI] 12 Dec 2012

On Addition Formulae of KP, mKP and BKP hierarchies

Yoko Shigyo*
Department of Mathematics, Tsuda College,
Kodaira, Tokyo, 187-8577, Japan

Abstract

In this paper we study the addition formulae of the KP, the mKP and the BKP hierarchies. We prove that the total hierarchies are equivalent to the simplest equations of their addition formulae. In the case of the KP and the mKP those results had previously been proved by Noumi, Takasaki and Takebe by way of functions. Here we give alternative and direct proofs for the case of the KP and mKP hierarchies. Our method can equally be applied to the BKP hierarchy.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to prove that some integrable hierarchies are equivalent to the simplest equations of their addition formulae. We study the KP, the modified KP (mKP) and the BKP hierarchies in this paper.

The (bilinear) KP hierarchy [11] is an infinite system of bilinear equations for $\tau(x)$, $x=(x_1,x_2,\cdots)$, given, in the generating form, by

$$\oint e^{-2\xi(y,\lambda)}\tau(x-y-[\lambda^{-1}])\tau(x+y+[\lambda^{-1}])\frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i}=0.$$

Namely if we expand the left hand side in $y=(y_1,y_2,\cdots)$, then we get Hirota's bilinear equations, which contain, as the simplest equation, the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) equation in the bilinear form

$$(D_1^4+3D_2^2-4D_1D_3)\tau\cdot\tau=0, \tag{1}$$

where D_i is the Hirota's bilinear operator defined by

$$(D_1^{i_1}D_2^{i_2}\cdots)\tau\cdot\tau=\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}\right)^{i_1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}\right)^{i_2}\cdots\right)(\tau(x+y)\tau(x-y))\Big|_{y=0},\ y=(y_1,y_2,\cdots). \tag{2}$$

Instead of expanding in y, if we put $y=(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}[\beta_i]-\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}[\alpha_i])/2$, $[\alpha]=(\alpha,\alpha^2/2,\alpha^3/3,\cdots)$, and compute the integral by taking residues, then we get addition formulae [19]:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{i-1}C_{\alpha\beta}\tau(x+\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}[\beta_j]+[\alpha_i])\tau(x+\sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^{m+1}[\alpha_j])=0,\qquad m\geq 2, \tag{3}$$

---

*e-mail: yoko.shigyo@gmail.com

where $C_{\alpha\beta}$ depends only on $\{\alpha_i\}_{1\leq i\leq m+1}$ and $\{\beta_i\}_{1\leq i\leq m-1}$. The simplest case of addition formulae is the case of m=2:

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_{12}\alpha_{34}\tau(x+[\alpha_1]+[\alpha_2])\tau(x+[\alpha_3]+[\alpha_4])\\&-\alpha_{13}\alpha_{24}\tau(x+[\alpha_1]+[\alpha_3])\tau(x+[\alpha_2]+[\alpha_4])\\&+\alpha_{14}\alpha_{23}\tau(x+[\alpha_1]+[\alpha_4])\tau(x+[\alpha_2]+[\alpha_3])=0,\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

where $\alpha_{ij}=\alpha_i-\alpha_j$. It is surprising that the KP hierarchy itself is equivalent to (4). This fact has been proved by Takasaki and Takebe [23] by way of the wave functions of the KP hierarchy. Here we give an alternative and direct proof. First we show that the totality of addition formulae (3) is equivalent to the KP hierarchy by using the properties of symmetric functions. If we say the function of the form $\tau(x+[\alpha_1]+\cdots+[\alpha_n])$ the n-point function, then (4) is a relation among two point functions. By shifting x appropriately we can consider (4) as an expressions of a four point function in terms of two point functions (see (20)). Repeating this process, we can derive the formulae which express the $2m$-point function as a determinant of 2-point functions. These formulae are called the Fay's determinant formulae in the case of theta function [6, 18]. In [6] it is indicated without proofs that the determinant formulae can be obtained form the trisecant formulae corresponding to (4). In this sense the determinant formulae (19) and their derivation from (4) can not be said as a new result [22]. Next we show that the Plücker's relations for the determinants appeared in these formulae are nothing but the addition formulae (3) for m-point functions. In this way, we can prove that (4) is equivalent to the KP hierarchy. For the mKP and the BKP hierarchies, similar results hold although there are some differences.

The mKP hierarchy is an infinite system of differential equations for an infinite number of functions $\tau_l(x)$, $l\in\mathbb{Z}$. In this case there are an infinite number of the simplest addition formulae:

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_{23}\tau_l(x+[\alpha_1])\tau_{l+1}(x+[\alpha_2]+[\alpha_3])\\&-\alpha_{13}\tau_l(x+[\alpha_2])\tau_{l+1}(x+[\alpha_1]+[\alpha_3])\\&+\alpha_{12}\tau_l(x+[\alpha_3])\tau_{l+1}(x+[\alpha_1]+[\alpha_2])=0,\qquad l\in\mathbb{Z}.\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

It had been proved that (5) is equivalent to the mKP hierarchy in [16]. Here we prove the equivalence in a similar strategy to the case of KP. A new feature of the present case is that there exist addition formulae involving τ_l and τ_{l+k} for $k\geq 2$. We prove that these addition formulae are consequences of (5).

The BKP hierarchy is an infinite system of bilinear equations for $\tau(x)$, $x=(x_1,x_3,\cdots)$. The following is the simplest addition formula which has four terms:

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde\alpha_{12}\tilde\alpha_{13}\alpha_{23}\tau(x+2[\alpha_1]_o)\tau(x+2[\alpha_2]_o+2[\alpha_3]_o)\\&-\tilde\alpha_{12}\tilde\alpha_{23}\alpha_{13}\tau(x+2[\alpha_2]_o)\tau(x+2[\alpha_1]_o+2[\alpha_3]_o)\\&+\tilde\alpha_{13}\tilde\alpha_{23}\alpha_{12}\tau(x+2[\alpha_3]_o)\tau(x+2[\alpha_1]_o+2[\alpha_2]_o)\\&-\alpha_{12}\alpha_{13}\alpha_{23}\tau(x)\tau(x+2[\alpha_1]_o+2[\alpha_2]_o+2[\alpha_3]_o)=0.\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

where $\tilde\alpha_{ij}=\alpha_i+\alpha_j$ and $[\alpha]_o=(\alpha,\alpha^3/3,\alpha^5/5,\cdots)$. We prove that (6) is equivalent to the BKP hierarchy in a similar way to the KP hierarchy. In this case we use Pfaffians instead of determinants to express n-point functions in terms of one and two point functions. To this end we need the analogue of Sylvester's theorem for Pfaffians and the Plücker's relations for Pfaffians [17].

We have shown that the KP, the mKP and the BKP hierarchies are equivalent to the simplest addition formulae. It is interesting to study whether, for other integrable hierarchies [4, 11, 20, 21], similar structure exists. There exists a result for the Toda hierarchy [20, 24]. But the problem arises to specify what are the fundamental equations in general. To consider these problems, it will be effective to use free fermion descriptions of integrable hierarchies [4, 11]. It is also interesting to apply the results to the study of discrete differential geometries [9, 2] and addition formulae for sigma functions [1, 5, 15].

This paper consists of three sections and one appendix. In section 2, we consider the KP hierarchy. The key point is a proof of the equivalence between the KP hierarchy itself and its addition formulae. Since this case is fundamental, the details of arguments are given. Then we consider the mKP hierarchy in section 3. The arguments which are similar to the KP hierarchy are omitted. In section 4, we study the BKP hierarchy. The Pfaffians are necessary in this case. We review the Plücker's relations for Pfaffians due to

Ohta [17]. The analogue of Sylvester's theorem for Pfaffians is used. In Appendix, the Sylvester's theorem for determinants and Pfaffians are reviewed.

2 The addition formula for the τ-function of the KP hierarchy

Let

$$[\alpha]=(\alpha,\frac{\alpha^2}{2},\frac{\alpha^3}{3},...),\qquad \xi(t,\lambda)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}t_n\lambda^n,\qquad t=(t_1,t_2,t_3,\cdots).$$

The KP hierarchy is a system of equations for a function $\tau(t)$ ([3, 11]) given by

$$\oint e^{\xi(t'-t,\lambda)}\tau(t'-[\lambda^{-1}])\tau(t+[\lambda^{-1}])\frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i}=0. \tag{7}$$

Here $\oint$ means a formal algebraic operator extracting the coefficient of z^{-1} of Laurent series:

$$\oint\frac{dz}{2\pi i}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}a_nz^n=a_{-1}. \tag{8}$$

Set $t=x+y$, $t'=x-y$. Then (7) becomes

$$\oint e^{-2\xi(y,\lambda)}\tau(x-y-[\lambda^{-1}])\tau(x+y+[\lambda^{-1}])\frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i}=0. \tag{9}$$

For an integer $m\geq 2$, set

$$y=\frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}[\beta_i]-\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}[\alpha_i]). \tag{10}$$

Then (9) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\oint \exp(-\xi(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}[\beta_i]-\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}[\alpha_i],\lambda))\tau(x-\frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}[\beta_i]-\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}[\alpha_i])-[\lambda^{-1}])\\ &\times\tau(x+\frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}[\beta_i]-\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}[\alpha_i])+[\lambda^{-1}])\frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i}=0.\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

By virtue of the identity

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{x^n}{n}=-\log(1-x),$$

the exponential factor in (11) reduces to a rational function of λ,α_i,β_i as

$$\exp\left(-\xi(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}[\beta_i]-\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}[\alpha_i],\lambda)\right)=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m-1}(1-\beta_i\lambda)}{\prod_{i=1}^{m+1}(1-\alpha_i\lambda)}.$$

Computing the integral by taking residues at $\lambda=\alpha_i^{-1}$, $1\leq i\leq m$ [13] and shifting the variable x as

$$x\to x+\frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}[\beta_i]+\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}[\alpha_i]),$$

we get the following addition formulae of the τ-function [19]

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{i-1}\zeta(x;\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_{m-1},\alpha_i)\zeta(x;\alpha_1,\ldots,\hat{\alpha}_i,\ldots,\alpha_{m+1})=0,\qquad m\geq 2,\tag{12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&\zeta(x;\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)=\Delta(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)\tau(x+[\alpha_1]+\cdots+[\alpha_n]),\\&\Delta(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)=\prod_{i<j}(\alpha_i-\alpha_j),\end{aligned}$$

and $\hat{\alpha}_i$ denotes to remove α_i.

Example 1 *In the case of* $m=2$*, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_{12}\alpha_{34}\tau(x+[\alpha_1]+[\alpha_2])\tau(x+[\alpha_3]+[\alpha_4])\\&-\alpha_{13}\alpha_{24}\tau(x+[\alpha_1]+[\alpha_3])\tau(x+[\alpha_2]+[\alpha_4])\\&+\alpha_{14}\alpha_{23}\tau(x+[\alpha_1]+[\alpha_4])\tau(x+[\alpha_2]+[\alpha_3])=0,\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

where $\alpha_{ij}=\alpha_i-\alpha_j$*.*

We call (13) 'the three terms equation'. We have derived (13) from (7). The fact that the converse is true is proved by Takasaki and Takebe [23].

Theorem 1 **[23]** *The three terms equation (13) is equivalent to the KP hierarchy (7).*

In [23] the theorem is proved by constructing the wave function of the KP-hierarchy. To do it the differential Fay identity which is a certain limit of (13) is used. Here we give an alternative and direct proof of the theorem.

Proposition 1 *The KP hierarchy (7) is equivalent to (12).*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that if (11) holds for any $m\geq 2$ and arbitrary $\alpha_i\neq 0,\beta_i$, then (9) holds. Set the left hand side of (9) $F(y)$. Expand $F(y)$ in y as

$$F(y)=\sum_{\gamma}F_\gamma y^\gamma,\qquad y^\gamma=y_1^{\gamma_1}y_2^{\gamma_2}\cdots,\qquad \gamma=(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\cdots).\tag{14}$$

We consider the case $\beta_i=0$, $1\leq i\leq m-1$ in (10) and set

$$y'=\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}[\alpha_i].\tag{15}$$

We prove $F_\gamma=0$ for any γ if $F(-\frac{y'}{2})=0$ for any $m\geq 2$. We consider m fixed. Let us define the weight of y_i to be i and $\mathrm{wt}\,y^\gamma=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}i\gamma_i$. Decompose F according to weights as

$$F=F^{(0)}+F^{(1)}+F^{(2)}+\cdots,\tag{16}$$

$$F^{(i)}=\sum_{\mathrm{wt}\,y^\gamma=i}F_\gamma y^\gamma.\tag{17}$$

We substitute $-\frac{y'}{2}$ to y and get the homogeneous polynomial of degree i of $\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{m+1}$:

$$F^{(i)}(-\frac{y'}{2})=\sum_{\gamma_1+\cdots+\gamma_{m+1}=i}b^{(i)}_{\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_{m+1}}\alpha_1^{\gamma_1}\cdots\alpha_{m+1}^{\gamma_{m+1}}.\tag{18}$$

Then $F(-\frac{y'}{2})=0$ is equivalent to $F^{(i)}(-\frac{y'}{2})=0$ for any i. Notice that $iy'_i=\alpha_1^i+\cdots+\alpha_{m+1}^i$ is a power sum symmetric function. Therefore $y'_1,\cdots,y'_{m+1}$ are algebraically independent [12]. If $i\le m+1$, then $F^{(i)}(y)$ is a polynomial at most of $y_1,\cdots,y_{m+1}$. Thus $F^{(i)}(-\frac{y'}{2})=0$ implies $F_\gamma=0$ for any γ satisfying $\mathrm{wt}\,\gamma=i$. Since m is arbitrary we have $F_\gamma=0$ for any γ. □

Remark In the course of the proof we actually prove the equivalence between (7) and (12) with $\beta_i=0$ for any i (of course, in that case we have firstly to divide (12) by $\Delta(\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_{m-1})$).

Proposition 2 *The following formula follows from (13):*

$$\frac{\tau(x+\sum_{i=1}^m[\beta_i]-\sum_{i=1}^m[\alpha_i])}{\tau(x)}=\frac{\prod_{i,j=1}^m(\beta_i-\alpha_j)}{\prod_{i<j}\alpha_{ij}\beta_{ji}}\det\left(\frac{\tau(x+[\beta_i]-[\alpha_j])}{(\beta_i-\alpha_j)\tau(x)}\right)_{1\le i,j\le m},m\ge 2. \tag{19}$$

Proof. Shifting x in (13) as

$$x\to x-[\alpha_1]-[\alpha_2],$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\tau(x-[\alpha_1]-[\alpha_2]+[\alpha_3]+[\alpha_4])}{\tau(x)}\\&=\frac{\alpha_{31}\alpha_{41}\alpha_{32}\alpha_{42}}{\alpha_{12}\alpha_{43}}\det\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\tau(x-[\alpha_1]+[\alpha_3])}{\alpha_{31}\tau(x)}&\frac{\tau(x-[\alpha_2]+[\alpha_3])}{\alpha_{32}\tau(x)}\\\frac{\tau(x-[\alpha_1]+[\alpha_4])}{\alpha_{41}\tau(x)}&\frac{\tau(x-[\alpha_2]+[\alpha_4])}{\alpha_{42}\tau(x)}\end{pmatrix},\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

which becomes (19) with $m=2$ if we set $(\alpha_3,\alpha_4)\to(\beta_1,\beta_2)$.

Suppose that (19) holds in case of $m=k$:

$$\tau(x+\sum_{i=1}^k[\beta_i]-\sum_{i=1}^k[\alpha_i])=\tau(x)^{-k+1}C_k\det\left(\frac{\tau(x+[\beta_i]-[\alpha_j])}{\beta_i-\alpha_j}\right)_{1\le i,j\le k}, \tag{21}$$

where

$$C_k=\frac{\prod_{i,j=1}^k(\beta_i-\alpha_j)}{\prod_{i<j}\alpha_{ij}\beta_{ji}}.$$

In (21) shift the variable x as

$$x\to x+[\beta_{k+1}]-[\alpha_{k+1}].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\tau(x+\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}[\beta_i]-\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}[\alpha_i])\\&=\tau(x+[\beta_{k+1}]-[\alpha_{k+1}])^{-k+1}C_k\det\left(\frac{\tau(x+[\beta_i]+[\beta_{k+1}]-[\alpha_j]-[\alpha_{k+1}])}{\beta_i-\alpha_j}\right)_{1\le i,j\le k}.\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

By (19) with $m=2$,

$$\tau(x+[\beta_i]+[\beta_{k+1}]-[\alpha_j]-[\alpha_{k+1}])=\tau(x)^{-1}A_{ij}\cdot X_{ij}, \tag{23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}A_{ij}&=\frac{(\beta_i-\alpha_j)(\beta_i-\alpha_{k+1})(\beta_{k+1}-\alpha_j)(\beta_{k+1}-\alpha_{k+1})}{(\alpha_j-\alpha_{k+1})(\beta_{k+1}-\beta_i)},\\X_{ij}&=\det\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\tau(x+[\beta_i]-[\alpha_j])}{\beta_i-\alpha_j}&\frac{\tau(x+[\beta_i]-[\alpha_{k+1}])}{\beta_i-\alpha_{k+1}}\\\frac{\tau(x+[\beta_{k+1}]-[\alpha_j])}{\beta_{k+1}-\alpha_j}&\frac{\tau(x+[\beta_{k+1}]-[\alpha_{k+1}])}{\beta_{k+1}-\alpha_{k+1}}\end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

By substituting (23) to the determinant in the right hand side of (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\det\left(\frac{\tau(x+[\beta_i]+[\beta_{k+1}]-[\alpha_j]-[\alpha_{k+1}])}{\beta_i-\alpha_j}\right)_{1\le i,j\le k} \\ &= \left(\frac{\beta_{k+1}-\alpha_{k+1}}{\tau(x)}\right)^k \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(\beta_{k+1}-\alpha_i)(\beta_i-\alpha_{k+1})}{(\alpha_i-\alpha_{k+1})(\beta_{k+1}-\beta_i)} \det(X_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le k}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Using Sylvester's theorem (Appendix), we can rewrite the determinant in the right hand side of (24) as

$$\det(X_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le k} = \left(\frac{\tau(x+[\beta_{k+1}]-[\alpha_{k+1}])}{\beta_{k+1}-\alpha_{k+1}}\right)^{k-1} \det\left(\frac{\tau(x+[\beta_i]-[\alpha_j])}{\beta_i-\alpha_j}\right)_{1\le i,j\le k+1}. \tag{25}$$

Finally, we get

$$\tau(x+\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}[\beta_i]-\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}[\alpha_i]) = \tau(x)^{-k}\frac{\prod_{i,j=1}^{k+1}(\beta_i-\alpha_j)}{\prod_{i<j}\alpha_{ij}\beta_{ji}} \det\left(\frac{\tau(x+[\beta_i]-[\alpha_j])}{(\beta_i-\alpha_j)\tau(x)}\right)_{1\le i,j\le k+1}, \tag{26}$$

which is the case of $m=k+1$ of (19). □

Let us consider an $N\times m$ matrix A$=(a_{ij})_{1\le i\le N, 1\le j\le m}$ with $N\ge m$ and set, for $1\le l_1,\cdots,l_m\le N$,

$$A(l_1,\cdots,l_m) = \det(a_{l_i,j})_{1\le i,j\le m}.$$

For any $1\le k_1,\cdots,k_{m-1},l_1,\cdots,l_{m+1}\le N$ these determinants satisfy Plücker's relations:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{i-1}A(k_1,\ldots,k_{m-1},l_i)A(l_1,\ldots,\hat{l}_i,\ldots,l_{m+1}) = 0. \tag{27}$$

Proposition 3 *The Plücker's relations for the determinant of the right hand side of (19) give the addition formulae (12).*

Proof. Let m be fixed. Consider the $\infty\times m$ matrix A$=(a_{ij})$ with

$$a_{ij} = \frac{\tau(x+[\beta_i]-[\alpha_j])}{(\beta_i-\alpha_j)\tau(x)}.$$

By (19), we have

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} A(k_1,\ldots,k_{m-1},l_i) = \frac{\Delta(\beta_{k_1},\cdots,\beta_{k_{m-1}},\beta_{l_i})\Delta(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_m)}{\prod_{r\in K_i}\prod_{s=1}^m(\beta_r-\alpha_s)}\frac{\tau(x+\sum_{r\in K_i}[\beta_r]-\sum_{s=1}^m[\alpha_s])}{\tau(x)}, \\ A(l_1,\ldots,\hat{l}_i,\ldots,l_{m+1}) = \frac{\Delta(\beta_{l_1},\cdots,\hat{\beta}_{l_i},\cdots,\beta_{l_{m+1}})\Delta(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_m)}{\prod_{r\in L_i}\prod_{s=1}^m(\beta_r-\alpha_s)}\frac{\tau(x+\sum_{r\in L_i}[\beta_r]-\sum_{s=1}^m[\alpha_s])}{\tau(x[)}, \end{array}\right. \tag{28}$$

where $K_i=\{k_1,\cdots,k_{m-1},l_i\}, L_i=\{l_1,\cdots,\hat{l}_i,\cdots,l_{m+1}\}$. Shift the variable x as

$$x\to x+\sum_{s=1}^m[\alpha_s],$$

and renaming the variables as$(\beta_{k_1},\cdots,\beta_{k_{m-1}})\to(\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_{m-1})$, $(\beta_{l_1},\cdots,\beta_{l_{m+1}})\to(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{m+1})$, we get the addition formulae (12). □

Proof of Theorem 1. By Proposition 1, 2 and 3, we have (7) from (13). Thus Theorem 1 is proved. □

3 mKP hierarchy

Let $\tau_l(t)$ $(l \in \mathbb{Z})$ be τ-functions of the modified KP (mKP) hierarchy. We use the same notation as that for KP hierarchy ($[\alpha]$, $\xi(t,\lambda)$, etc.).

The mKP hierarchy is given by the bilinear equation ([3, 11]) of the form

$$\oint e^{\xi(t'-t,\lambda)}\lambda^{l-l'}\tau_l(t'-[\lambda^{-1}])\tau_{l'}(t+[\lambda^{-1}])\frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i}=0,\ \ l \geq l'. \tag{29}$$

Set $t = x + y$, $t' = x - y$. Then (29) becomes

$$\oint e^{-2\xi(y,\lambda)}\lambda^{l-l'}\tau_l(x-y-[\lambda^{-1}])\tau_{l'}(x+y+[\lambda^{-1}])\frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i}=0,\ \ l \geq l'. \tag{30}$$

Let $l - l' = k \geq 0$. Set

$$y=\frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}[\beta_i]-\sum_{i=1}^{m+k}[\alpha_i]). \tag{31}$$

Then the exponential factor in (30) reduces to a rational function of $\lambda, \alpha_i, \beta_i$ as in the KP case:

$$\exp\left(-\xi(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}[\beta_i]-\sum_{i=1}^{m+k}[\alpha_i],\lambda)\right)=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m-2}(1-\beta_i\lambda)}{\prod_{i=1}^{m+k}(1-\alpha_i\lambda)}.$$

Computing the integral by taking residues at $\lambda = \alpha_i^{-1}$, $1 \leq i \leq m + k$ and shifting the variable x as

$$x \to x+\frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}[\beta_i]+\sum_{i=1}^{m+k}[\alpha_i]),$$

we have the following addition formulae of the mKP hierarchy:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+k}(-1)^{i-1}\zeta_l(x;,\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_{m-2},\alpha_i)\zeta_{l+k}(x;\alpha_1,\cdots,\hat{\alpha}_i,\cdots,\alpha_{m+k})=0,\ \ l\in\mathbb{Z},\ k\geq 0,\ m\geq 2, \tag{32}$$

where

$$\zeta_l(x;\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n)=\Delta(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n)\tau_l(x+\sum_{i=1}^{n}[\alpha_i]).$$

Example 2 *The case $l - l' = 1$ and $m = 2$ of (32) is*

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_{23}\tau_l(x+[\alpha_1])\tau_{l+1}(x+[\alpha_2]+[\alpha_3])\\&-\alpha_{13}\tau_l(x+[\alpha_2])\tau_{l+1}(x+[\alpha_1]+[\alpha_3])\\&+\alpha_{12}\tau_l(x+[\alpha_3])\tau_{l+1}(x+[\alpha_1]+[\alpha_2])=0.\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

We call (33) ‘the three terms equation of the mKP hierarchy’.

The following theorem is proved in [16].

Theorem 2 [16] *The three terms equation (33) is equivalent to the mKP hierarchy (29).*

We give an another proof which is similar to that of the KP hierarchy. The following proposition can be proved as in the KP-case.

Proposition 4 *The mKP hierarchy (29) is equivalent to (32).*

Proposition 5 *The following formula follows from (33) for $n \geq 2$:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\tau_{l+1}(x+\sum_{i=1}^{n}[\alpha_i]-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}[\beta_i])}{\tau_l(x)} \\ &= C \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\tau_l(x+[\alpha_1]-[\beta_1])}{(\alpha_1-\beta_1)\tau_l(x)} & \cdots & \frac{\tau_l(x+[\alpha_1]-[\beta_{n-1}])}{(\alpha_1-\beta_{n-1})\tau_l(x)} & \frac{\tau_{l+1}(x+[\alpha_1])}{\tau_l(x)} \\ \frac{\tau_l(x+[\alpha_2]-[\beta_1])}{(\alpha_2-\beta_1)\tau_l(x)} & \cdots & \frac{\tau_l(x+[\alpha_2]-[\beta_{n-1}])}{(\alpha_2-\beta_{n-1})\tau_l(x)} & \frac{\tau_{l+1}(x+[\alpha_2])}{\tau_l(x)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\tau_l(x+[\alpha_n]-[\beta_1])}{(\alpha_n-\beta_1)\tau_l(x)} & \cdots & \frac{\tau_l(x+[\alpha_n]-[\beta_{n-1}])}{(\alpha_n-\beta_{n-1})\tau_l(x)} & \frac{\tau_{l+1}(x+[\alpha_n])}{\tau_l(x)} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where

$$C = C(l, \{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_i\}) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}(\alpha_i-\beta_j)}{(\prod_{i<j}^{n-1}\beta_{ij})(\prod_{i>j}^{n}\alpha_{ij})}.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 2. We leave details to readers. □

Proposition 6 *The Plücher's relations for the determinant of the right hand side of (34) gives (32) with $k = 1$.*

Proof. Let m be fixed. Consider the $m \times 2m$ matrix $\mathrm{A} = (a_{ij})_{1\leq i\leq m,\, 1\leq j\leq 2m}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= \tfrac{\tau_l(x+[\alpha_i]-[\beta_j])}{\alpha_i-\beta_j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2m-1, \\ a_{i,2m} &= \tau_{l+1}(x+[\alpha_i]). \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

In the present case, for $1 \leq r_1, \cdots, r_m \leq 2m$ we set

$$A(r_1, \cdots, r_m) = \det(a_{i,r_j})_{1\leq i,j\leq m}$$

They satisfy Plücker's relation (27). For $1 \leq k_1, \cdots, k_{m-2}, l_1, \cdots, l_{m+1} \leq 2m-1$ we have, from (19) and (34),

$$\begin{cases} A(k_1, \cdots, k_{m-2}, l_i, 2m) = \frac{\Delta(\beta_{k_1},\cdots,\beta_{k_{m-2}},\beta_{l_i})\Delta(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_m)(\tau_l(x))^{m-1}}{\prod_{q\in K_i}\prod_{p=1}^{m}(\alpha_p-\beta_q)}\tau_{l+1}(x+\sum_{p=1}^{m}[\alpha_p]-\sum_{p\in K_i}[\beta_p]), \\ A(l_1, \cdots, \hat{l}_i, \cdots, l_{m+1}) = \frac{\Delta(\beta_{l_1},\cdots,\hat{\beta}_{l_i},\cdots,\beta_{l_{m+1}})\Delta(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_m)(\tau_l(x))^{m-1}}{\prod_{q\in L_i}\prod_{p=1}^{m}(\alpha_p-\beta_q)}\tau_l(x+\sum_{p=1}^{m}[\alpha_p]-\sum_{p\in L_i}[\beta_p]), \end{cases}$$

where $K_i = \{k_1, \cdots, k_{m-2}, l_i\}$, $L_i = \{l_1, \cdots, \hat{l}_i, \cdots, l_{m+1}\}$. Then we get (32) with $k = 1$. □

By Proposition 5 and 6, Equation (32) with $k = 1$ and arbitrary $m \geq 2$ follows from (33). The next lemma shows that (32) with $k \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2$ also follows from (33). The fact that (32) with $k = 0$ follows from (33) is proved in [16]. We generalize the arguments in [16] for $k \geq 2$.

Lemma 1 *Equations (32) are implied by (33).*

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on k. Suppose that Equation (32) is valid for k and any $m \geq 2$. Shift the variable x as

$$x \to x - \sum_{j=1}^{m+k-1}[\alpha_j],$$

and multiply $\tau_{l+k+1}(x+[\alpha_{m+k+1}])$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^{m+k-1} A_i \tau_l(x - \sum_{j\neq i}^{m+k-1}[\alpha_j] + \sum_{j=1}^{m-2}[\beta_j])\tau_{l+k}(x+[\alpha_{m+k}]-[\alpha_i])\tau_{l+k+1}(x+[\alpha_{m+k+1}]) \\ &+A_{m+k}\tau_l(x+[\alpha_{m+k}]+\sum_{j=1}^{m-2}[\beta_j]-\sum_{j=1}^{m+k-1}[\alpha_j])\tau_{l+k}(x)\tau_{l+k+1}(x+[\alpha_{m+k}]) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where

$$A_i = (-1)^{i-1}\Delta(\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_{m-2},\alpha_i)\Delta(\alpha_1,\cdots,\hat{\alpha}_i,\cdots,\alpha_{m+k}).$$

In (33) with l being replaced by $l+k$, make a shift $x \to x-[\alpha_3]$ and change the label of α as $(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3) \to (\alpha_{m+k},\alpha_{m+k+1},\alpha_i)$, $1 \le i \le m+k-1$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_{l+k}(x+[\alpha_{m+k}]-[\alpha_i])\tau_{l+k+1}(x+[\alpha_{m+k+1}])\\
&=\frac{\alpha_{m+k,i}}{\alpha_{m+k+1,i}}\tau_{l+k}(x+[\alpha_{m+k+1}]-[\alpha_i])\tau_{l+k+1}(x+[\alpha_{m+k}])\\
&-\frac{\alpha_{m+k,m+k+1}}{\alpha_{m+k+1,i}}\tau_{l+k}(x)\tau_{l+k+1}(x+[\alpha_{m+k}]+[\alpha_{m+k+1}]-[\alpha_i]).
\end{aligned}\tag{37}$$

Substituting (37) to the summands of (36) and shifting x as $x \to x+\sum_{j=1}^{m+k-1}[\alpha_j]$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_{l+k+1}(x+\sum_{j=1}^{m+k}[\alpha_j])\sum_{i=1}^{m+k-1}A_i\frac{\alpha_{m+k,i}}{\alpha_{m+k+1,i}}\tau_l(x+[\alpha_i]+\sum_{j=1}^{m-2}[\beta_j])\tau_{l+k}(x+\sum_{j\neq i}^{m+k-1}[\alpha_j]+[\alpha_{m+k+1}])\\
&+\tau_{l+k}(x+\sum_{j=1}^{m+k-1}[\alpha_j])\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{m+k-1}A_i\frac{\alpha_{m+k,m+k+1}}{\alpha_{i,m+k+1}}\tau_l(x+[\alpha_i]+\sum_{j=1}^{m-2}[\beta_j])\tau_{l+k+1}(x+\sum_{j\neq i}^{m+k+1}[\alpha_j])\right.\\
&\left.+A_{m+k}\tau_l(x+[\alpha_{m+k}]+\sum_{j=1}^{m-2}[\beta_j])\tau_{l+k+1}(x+\sum_{j\neq m+k}^{m+k+1}[\alpha_j])\right\}=0.
\end{aligned}\tag{38}$$

We write (32) with k as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{m+k-1}A_i\tau_l(x+[\alpha_i]+\sum_{j=1}^{m-2}[\beta_j])\tau_{l+k}(x+\sum_{j\neq i}^{m+k}[\alpha_j])\\
&=-A_{m+k}\tau_l(x+[\alpha_{m+k}]+\sum_{j=1}^{m-2}[\beta_j])\tau_{l+k}(x+\sum_{j=1}^{m+k-1}[\alpha_j]).
\end{aligned}\tag{39}$$

Change α_{m+k} to α_{m+k+1} in (39). Notice that A_i, $i<m+k$, changes to

$$(-1)^{i-1}\Delta(\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_{m-2},\alpha_i)\Delta(\alpha_1,\cdots,\hat{\alpha}_i,\cdots,\alpha_{m+k-1},\alpha_{m+k+1}) = \prod_{j=1}^{m+k-1}\frac{\alpha_{j,m+k+1}}{\alpha_{j,m+k}}\cdot A_i\cdot\frac{\alpha_{i,m+k}}{\alpha_{i,m+k+1}}$$

and A_{m+k} changes to

$$(-1)^{m+k}\Delta(\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_{m-2},\alpha_{m+k+1})\Delta(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{m+k-1}).$$

Then we can rewrite the first term of (38) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_{l+k+1}(x+\sum_{j=1}^{m+k}[\alpha_j])\sum_{i=1}^{m+k-1}A_i\frac{\alpha_{i,m+k}}{\alpha_{i,m+k+1}}\tau_l(x+[\alpha_i]+\sum_{j=1}^{m-2}[\beta_j])\tau_{l+k}(x+\sum_{j\neq i}^{m+k-1}[\alpha_j]+[\alpha_{m+k+1}])\\
&=\tau_{l+k+1}(x+\sum_{j=1}^{m+k}[\alpha_j])B_{m+k+1}\tau_l(x+[\alpha_{m+k+1}]+\sum_{j=1}^{m-2}[\beta_j])\tau_{l+k}(x+\sum_{j=1}^{m+k-1}[\alpha_j]),
\end{aligned}\tag{40}$$

where

$$B_{m+k+1}=(-1)^{m+k+1}\frac{\Delta(\alpha_{m+k+1},\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_{m-2})\Delta(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{m+k})}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+k-1}\alpha_{j,m+k+1}}.$$

Substituting (40) to (38), we can divide the equation by $\tau_{l+k}(x+\sum_{j=1}^{m+k-1}[\alpha_j])$. Then we get the case of $k+1$ of (32) by multiplying $\prod_{j=1}^{m+k-1}\alpha_{j,m+k+1}$. □

4 BKP hierarchy

Let $\tau(t)$ be the τ-function of the BKP hierarchy. In this case, the time variable is $t=(t_1,t_3,t_5,\cdots)$. We set

$$[\alpha]_o=(\alpha,\frac{\alpha^3}{3},\frac{\alpha^5}{5},...),\qquad \tilde{\xi}(t,\lambda)=\sum_{n:odd}t_n\lambda^n=t_1\lambda+t_3\lambda^3+t_5\lambda^5+\cdots.$$

The BKP hierarchy ([3, 11]) is defined by

$$\oint e^{\tilde{\xi}(t-t',\lambda)}\tau(t-2[\lambda^{-1}]_o)\tau(t'+2[\lambda^{-1}]_o)\frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i\lambda}=\tau(t)\tau(t'). \tag{41}$$

Set $t=x-y$, $t'=x+y$. We get

$$\oint e^{-2\tilde{\xi}(y,\lambda)}\tau(x-y-2[\lambda^{-1}]_o)\tau(x+y+2[\lambda^{-1}]_o)\frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i\lambda}=\tau(x-y)\tau(x+y). \tag{42}$$

Set

$$y=\sum_{i=1}^n[\alpha_i]_o,$$

then (42) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\oint e^{-2\tilde{\xi}(\sum_{i=1}^n[\alpha_i]_o,\lambda)}\tau(x-\sum_{i=1}^n[\alpha_i]_o-2[\lambda^{-1}]_o)\tau(x+\sum_{i=1}^n[\alpha_i]_o+2[\lambda^{-1}]_o)\frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i\lambda}\\&=\tau(x-\sum_{i=1}^n[\alpha_i]_o)\tau(x+\sum_{i=1}^n[\alpha_i]_o).\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

By decomposing $-2\sum_{n:odd}t_n\lambda^n$ as

$$-2\sum_{n:odd}t_n\lambda^n=-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}t_n\lambda^n+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}t_n(-\lambda)^n,$$

we get

$$\exp\left(-2\tilde{\xi}(\sum_{i=1}^n[\alpha_i]_o,\lambda)\right)=\prod_{i=1}^n\frac{1-\alpha_i\lambda}{1+\alpha_i\lambda}.$$

Computing the integral by taking residues as before, shifting x as $x+\sum_{i=1}^n[\alpha_i]_o$ and dividing $\tau(x)^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^n(-1)^{i-1}\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_i]_o)}{\tau(x)}A^{-1}_{1\cdots\hat{i}\cdots n}\frac{\tau(x+2\sum_{l=1,l\neq i}^n[\alpha_l]_o)}{\tau(x)}\\&-A^{-1}_{1\dots n}\frac{\tau(x+2\sum_{l=1}^n[\alpha_l]_o)}{\tau(x)}=0,\qquad n:odd,\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{i-1}\frac{\alpha_{i,n}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{i,n}}\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_i]_o+2[\alpha_n]_o)}{\tau(x)}A^{-1}_{1\cdots\hat{i}\cdots n-1}\frac{\tau(x+2\sum_{l=1,l\neq i}^{n-1}[\alpha_l]_o)}{\tau(x)}\\&-A^{-1}_{1\dots n}\frac{\tau(x+2\sum_{l=1}^n[\alpha_l]_o)}{\tau(x)}=0,\qquad n:even.\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Here $A_{1\dots n}$ is defined by

$$A_{1\dots n}=\prod_{i<j}^n\frac{\tilde{\alpha}_{ij}}{\alpha_{ij}},\qquad \tilde{\alpha}_{ij}=\alpha_i+\alpha_j,\qquad \alpha_{ij}=\alpha_i-\alpha_j.$$

Example 3 *The case* $n = 3$ *of (44) is*

$$\frac{\tau(x + 2\sum_{i=1}^{3}[\alpha_i]_o)}{\tau(x)} = A_{123}\left\{\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_1]_o)}{\tau(x)}\frac{\alpha_{23}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{23}}\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_2]_o+2[\alpha_3]_o)}{\tau(x)}\right.$$
$$-\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_2]_o)}{\tau(x)}\frac{\alpha_{13}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{13}}\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_1]_o+2[\alpha_3]_o)}{\tau(x)}$$
$$\left.+\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_3]_o)}{\tau(x)}\frac{\alpha_{12}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{12}}\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_1]_o+2[\alpha_2]_o)}{\tau(x)}\right\}. \tag{46}$$

We call Equation (46) ‘the four terms equation of the BKP hierarchy’.

Example 4 *The case of* $n = 4$ *of (45) is*

$$\frac{\tau(x + 2\sum_{i=1}^{4}[\alpha_i]_o)}{\tau(x)} = A_{1234}\left\{\frac{\alpha_{14}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{14}}\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_1]_o+2[\alpha_4]_o)}{\tau(x)}\frac{\alpha_{23}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{23}}\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_2]_o+2[\alpha_3]_o)}{\tau(x)}\right.$$
$$-\frac{\alpha_{24}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{24}}\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_2]_o+2[\alpha_4]_o)}{\tau(x)}\frac{\alpha_{13}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{13}}\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_1]_o+2[\alpha_3]_o)}{\tau(x)}$$
$$\left.+\frac{\alpha_{34}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{34}}\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_3]_o+2[\alpha_4]_o)}{\tau(x)}\frac{\alpha_{12}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{12}}\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_1]_o+2[\alpha_2]_o)}{\tau(x)}\right\}. \tag{47}$$

As is proved in Proposition 8, Equation (47) can be derived from Equation (46).

Theorem 3 *The four terms equation (46) is equivalent to the BKP hierarchy (41).*

We prove this theorem in a similar way to the case of the KP hierarchy.

In order to prove the theorem, we recall Pfaffians. Let A= $(a_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le 2m}$ be a skew-symmetric matrix. Then the Pfaffian Pf A is defined by

$$\det \mathrm{A} = (\mathrm{Pf\,A})^2, \qquad \mathrm{Pf\,A} = a_{12}a_{34}\cdots a_{2m-1,2m} + \cdots .$$

Following [7] we denote Pf A by $(1, 2, 3, \ldots, 2m)$:

$$\mathrm{Pf\,A} = (1, 2, 3, \ldots, 2m).$$

It is directly defined by

$$(1, 2, 3, \ldots, 2m) = \sum \mathrm{sgn}(i_1, \ldots, i_{2m}) \cdot (i_1, i_2)(i_3, i_4)\cdots(i_{2m-1}, i_{2m}), \qquad (i, j) = a_{ij},$$

where the sum is over all permutations of (1,. . . ,2m) such that

$$i_1 < i_3 < \cdots < i_{2m-1}, \quad i_1 < i_2, \cdots, i_{2m-1} < i_{2m},$$

and $\mathrm{sgn}(i_1, \ldots, i_{2m})$ is the signature of the permutation $(i_1, \ldots, i_{2m})$. The Pfaffian can be expanded as

$$(1, 2, 3, \ldots, 2m) = \sum_{j=2}^{2m}(-1)^j(1, j)(2, 3, \ldots, \hat{j}, \ldots, 2m).$$

For example, in the case of $m = 2$,

$$(1, 2, 3, 4) = (1, 2)(3, 4) - (1, 3)(2, 4) + (1, 4)(2, 3).$$

We set

$$(0, j) = \frac{\tau(x + 2[\alpha_j]_o)}{\tau(x)}, \qquad (i, j) = \frac{\alpha_{ij}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{ij}}\frac{\tau(x + 2[\alpha_i]_o + 2[\alpha_j]_o)}{\tau(x)}. \tag{48}$$

Then we rewrite (46) and (47) as

$$\frac{\tau(x+2\sum_{i=1}^{3}[\alpha_i]_o)}{\tau(x)} = A_{123}(0,1,2,3), \tag{49}$$

$$\frac{\tau(x+2\sum_{i=1}^{4}[\alpha_i]_o)}{\tau(x)} = A_{1234}(1,2,3,4). \tag{50}$$

The following proposition can be proved in a similar manner to Proposition 1.

Proposition 7 *The BKP hierarchy (41) is equivalent to (44) and (45).*

Proposition 8 *The following equations follows from (46):*

$$\frac{\tau(x+2\sum_{i=1}^{n}[\alpha_i]_o)}{\tau(x)} = A_{1\ldots n}(0,1,2,\ldots,n), \qquad n\geq 3: odd, \tag{51}$$

$$\frac{\tau(x+2\sum_{i=1}^{n}[\alpha_i]_o)}{\tau(x)} = A_{1\ldots n}(1,2,\ldots,n), \qquad n\geq 4: even. \tag{52}$$

Proof. First we prove that (46) implies (47). Shift x in (46) as $x\to x+2[\alpha_4]_o$:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\tau(x+2\sum_{i=1}^{4}[\alpha_i]_o)}{\tau(x+2[\alpha_4]_o)} = A_{123}\frac{\tau(x)^2}{\tau(x+2[\alpha_4]_o)^2}\Bigg\{&\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_1]_o+2[\alpha_4]_o)}{\tau(x)}\frac{\alpha_{23}}{\tilde\alpha_{23}}\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_2]_o+2[\alpha_3]_o+2[\alpha_4]_o)}{\tau(x)}\\ &-\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_2]_o+2[\alpha_4]_o)}{\tau(x)}\frac{\alpha_{13}}{\tilde\alpha_{13}}\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_1]_o+2[\alpha_3]_o+2[\alpha_4]_o)}{\tau(x)}\\ &+\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_3]_o+2[\alpha_4]_o)}{\tau(x)}\frac{\alpha_{12}}{\tilde\alpha_{12}}\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_1]_o+2[\alpha_2]_o+2[\alpha_4]_o)}{\tau(x)}\Bigg\}.\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Substitute the expression by (49) into the term of the form $\tau(x+2[\alpha_{i_1}]_o+2[\alpha_{i_2}]_o+2[\alpha_{i_3}]_o)$ in (53). Then we get

$$\frac{\tau(x+2\sum_{i=1}^{4}[\alpha_i]_o)}{\tau(x)} = A_{1234}(1,2,3,4).$$

We prove (51) by induction on n. The case of $n=3$ is obvious. Suppose that (51) holds in the case of n:

$$\frac{\tau(x+2\sum_{i=1}^{n}[\alpha_i]_o)}{\tau(x)} = A_{1\ldots n}(0,1,2,\ldots,n) = A_{1\ldots n}\mathrm{Pf}\mathrm{A}, \tag{54}$$

where A$=(a_{ij})_{0\leq i,j\leq n}$ is a skew-symmetric matrix,

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_j]_o)}{\tau(x)}, & i=0,\\ \frac{\alpha_{ij}}{\tilde\alpha_{ij}}\frac{\tau(x+2[\alpha_i]_o+2[\alpha_j]_o)}{\tau(x)}, & i\neq 0 \quad (i<j).\end{cases} \tag{55}$$

In (54) shift x as

$$x\to x+2[\alpha_{n+1}]_o+2[\alpha_{n+2}]_o.$$

Then we have, using (49) and (50),

$$\frac{\tau(x+2\sum_{i=1}^{n+2}[\alpha_i]_o)}{\tau(x)} = A_{1\cdots n+2}(n+1,n+2)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\mathrm{Pf}\mathrm{B} \tag{56}$$

where B$=(b_{ij})_{0\leq i<j\leq n}$ is a skew-symmetric matrix,

$$b_{ij} = (n+1,n+2,i,j) \qquad (i<j). \tag{57}$$

By the analogue of the Sylvester' theorem for Pfaffians (Appendix), we have

$$\mathrm{Pf}((1,2,\cdots,2r,i,j))_{2r+1\le i<j\le 2m} = (1,2,\cdots,2r)^{m-r-1}(1,2,\cdots,2m). \tag{58}$$

Applying (58) with $r=1$ and $m=n$, we can rewrite (58) as

$$\mathrm{Pf}((n+1,n+2,i,j))_{0\le i<j\le n} = (n+1,n+2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}(n+1,n+2,0,\cdots,n). \tag{59}$$

Substituting (59) to (56), we get

$$\frac{\tau(x+2\sum_{i=1}^{n+2}[\alpha_i]_o)}{\tau(x)} = A_{1\cdots n+2}(0,1,\cdots,n+2). \tag{60}$$

The case of n even is similarly proved. □

There exists an analogue of the Plücker's relations for Pfaffians [17]. The Plücker's relations for Pfaffians are given by

$$\sum_{l=1}^{L}(-1)^l(i_1,\cdots,i_K,j_l)(j_1,\cdots,\hat{j}_l,\cdots,j_L)+\sum_{k=1}^{K}(-1)^k(i_1,\cdots,\hat{i}_k,\cdots,i_K)(j_1,\cdots,j_L,i_k)=0, \tag{61}$$

where K and L are odd. We understand that $(\emptyset)=1$.

For n odd, taking $K=1, L=n, i_1=0$ and $j_1,\cdots,j_n\neq 0$ in (61), we get

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n}(-1)^{l-1}(0,j_l)(j_1,\cdots,\hat{j}_l,\cdots,j_n)-(0,j_1,\cdots,j_n)=0. \tag{62}$$

For n even, setting $K=1, L=n$ and $i_1\neq 0$ in (61), we have

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n}(-1)^{l-1}(i_1,j_l)(j_1,\cdots,\hat{j}_l,\cdots,j_{n-1})-(i_1,j_1,\cdots,j_{n-1})=0. \tag{63}$$

Proposition 9 *The Plücker's relations (62) and (63) for Pfaffians of the right hand side of (51) and (52) give the addition formulae (44) and (45) respectively.*

Proof. It can be easily checked by direct calculations. □

Appendix Sylveter's theorem for determinants and Pfaffians

Theorem 4 *Let* $r\le m$, $\mathrm{A}=(a_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le m}$ *and* $\mathrm{A_r}=(a_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le r}$. *Set*

$$\mathrm{B}=(b_{ij})_{r+1\le i,j\le m},$$
$$b_{ij}=\det\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1r} & a_{1j}\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots\\ a_{r1} & \dots & a_{rr} & a_{rj}\\ a_{i1} & \dots & a_{ir} & a_{ij}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we get

$$\det\mathrm{B}=(\det\mathrm{A_r})^{m-r-1}\det\mathrm{A}. \tag{64}$$

Let $\mathrm{A}=(a_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le 2m}$ be a skew-symmetric matrix. Set $(i,j)=a_{ij}$. For $r\le m$, let $\mathrm{P}=(p_{ij})_{2r+1\le i,j\le 2m}$, $p_{ij}=(1,2,\cdots,2r,i,j)$ and $I_r=\{1,2,\cdots,2r\}$. In general, for a subset $I\subset\{1,2,\cdots,2m\}$ we set $\mathrm{A}(I)=(a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ and for $i<j$, $k<l$ we denote by A^{ij}_{kl} be a square matrix of degree $2(m-1)$ which is obtained from A by removing i-th and j-th rows, k-th and l-th columns.

Theorem 5 **[8]** *For $r \leq m$ the following identity holds:*

$$\mathrm{Pf}\,\mathrm{P} = (\mathrm{Pf}\,\mathrm{A}(I_r))^{m-r-1}\mathrm{Pf}\,\mathrm{A} \tag{65}$$

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Masatoshi Noumi and Takashi Takebe for permitting me to see the manuscript of [16] prior to its publication. I also thank Kanehisa Takasaki and Takashi Takebe for insightful comments and their interests in the present work. I also thank Yasuhiro Ohta and Soichi Okada for important comments about Pfaffians and informing me about reference [8]. Finally I am deeply grateful to Atsushi Nakayashiki for many advices. This research is supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) No.23340037.

References

[1] V. M. Buchstaber, V. Z. Enolski and D. V. Leykin, Kleinian functions, hyperelliptic Jacobians and applicationsm, in *Reviews in Math and Math. Phys.* **Vol.10**, No.2, Goedon and Brech, London, 1997, 1-125.

[2] A. I. Bobenko and Y. B. Suris, Discrete differential geometry. Integrable structure, Graduate studies in Math. 98, Amer. Math. Soc., 2008

[3] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, Transformation group for soliton equations, Nonlinear Integrable Systems-Classical Theory and Quantum Theory-, Ed. by M. Jimbo and T. Miwa (World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, 1983).

[4] E. Date, M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, Method for generating discrete soliton equations I, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 51-12(1982)4116-4124, ibid. II, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 51-12(1982)4125-4131, ibid. V, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 52-3(1983)766-771.

[5] J. C. Eilbeck, V. Z. Enolski and J. Gibbonsm Sigma, tau and Abelian functions of algebraic curves, *J. Phys. A: Math, Theor* **43** (2010), 455216.

[6] J. Fay, Theta functions on Riemann surfaces, LNM 352, 1973, Springer.

[7] R. Hirota, The Direct Method in Soliton Theory, Cambridge University Press, 2004 (Cambridge tracts in mathematics:155).

[8] R. Hirota, Generalizations of determinant identities by Pfaffian Reports of RIAM Symposium No.15 ME-S3 Mathematical Theories and Applications of Nonlinear Waves and Nonlinear Dynamics (2004) 148-156.

[9] J. Inoguchi, K. Kajiwara, N. Matsuura and Y. Ohta, Explicit solutions to the semi-discrete modified KdV equation and motion of discrete plane curves, arXiv:1328.

[10] M. Ishikawa and S. Okada, Identities of determinants and Pfaffians and their applications to representation theory and combinatorics, suugaku **62** (2010), 85-114 (in Japanese).

[11] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, Solitons and Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, Publ, RIMS Kyoto Univ. 19 (1983), 943-1001.

[12] I.G. Macdonald, Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, second edition, Oxford University Press, 1995.

[13] T. Miwa, On Hirota's difference equations, Proc. Japan Acad., 58, SerA(1982) 9-12.

[14] T. Miwa, M. Jimbo and E. Date, Differential equations, symmetries and infinite dimensional algebras, Cambridge University Press, 2000

[15] A, Nakayashiki, Sigma functions as a tau function, *IMRN* **2010-3** (2010), 373-394.

[16] M. Noumi and T. Takebe, Algebraic analysis of integrable hierarchies, in preparation.

[17] Y. Ohta, Soliton theory of Pfaffian version, RIMS Kokyuroku, Kyoto Univ. 822 (1993) 197-205

[18] A.K.Raina, Fay's trisecant identity and conformal field theory, Comm. Math. Phys. 122(1989)625-641

[19] M. Sato and Y. Sato, Soliton equationsas dynamical systems in an infinite dimensional Grassmannian manifold, in H. Fujita, P.D. Lax and G. Strang (ed.), *Nonlinear PDE in Applied Science*, Lecture Notes in Numerical Analysis vol. 5 (Kinokuniya, 1982), 259-271.

[20] K. Takasaki, Differential Fay identities and auxiliary linear problem of integrable hierarchies, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics vol. 61 (2011), 387–441.

[21] K. Takasaki, Dispersionless Hirota equations of two-component BKP hierarchy, SIGMA **2** (2006), paper 057, 22 pages.

[22] K. Takasaki, private communications.

[23] K. Takasaki and T. Takebe, Integrable hierarchies and dispersionless limit, Rev. Math. Phys. **7** (1995), 743-808.

[24] L.-P. Teo, Fay-like identities of the Toda lattice hierarchy and its dispersionless limit, Rev. Math. Phys. **18** (2006), 1055-1074.